

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/524,824	Applicant(s) KURIHASHI, KATSUAKI	
	Examiner Philip R. Wiest	Art Unit 3761	

All Participants:

(1) Philip R. Wiest.

(2) Patrick Buechner.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

19

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed claim 19, which has been determined patentable over the prior art. Specifically, examiner suggested claim language that more clearly defines the thread.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/LRD/

/Philip R Wiest/

Examiner, Art Unit 3761

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)